

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,506	10/15/2003	Michael A. Milligan	0275R-000799	3538	
27572 75	90 10/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			TILL, TERRENCE R		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD	HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		•	1744		
		·	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/686,506	MILLIGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 17-21 and 32-51 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) 20,21,35 and 36 is/s  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 17-19, 32-34 and 37-51 is/are reject of the claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination of the claim of the correct of the corr	are withdrawn from consideration.  ted.  for election requirement.  her.  scepted or b) objected to by the led of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secution is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of the drawing(s) is objected to by the led of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/686,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 17-19, 32-34, 37-44 and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent to Ito et al. (2002-17621) in view of Bass et al. (US 5,787,546).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,506 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

With respect to claim 17, Ito et al. inherently discloses (figures 1-3) the method of 5. filtering a dirt and debris laden air flow, the method comprising: providing a hand-held vacuum with a housing 10, a motor and impeller 11, a container 14 and a filter 22, the housing including a front wall and a handle 13 that is adapted to permit a user to employ the hand-held vacuum for vacuuming with a single hand, the impeller being disposed within the housing, the container having an integral inlet port 23 for receiving the did and debris laden air flow therethrough, the container defining a dirt collection chamber within container 14 in which the integral inlet port longitudinally extends, the container being attached to the housing 26,27 and forming at least a portion of an exterior surface (see figure 2) of the hand-held vacuum, the container being configured to retain dirt and debris removed from the dirt and debris laden air flow and at least a portion of the filter being disposed between the front wall and the inlet port; providing electrical energy to the motor to cause the impeller to rotate and generate the dirt and debris laden air flow; and swirling the dirt (see arrows in figure 2 how container is called "centrifugal dust removal section") and debris laden air flow around a perimeter of the filter within an interior of the container. Ito et al. '17621 also discloses the method steps of removing the container from the housing to empty the container and wherein the container and the inlet port are fixedly coupled to one another. With respect to claim 32, Ito et al. '17621 disclose the very same structure outlined in the method and the inlet port 23 extending rearwardly toward the front wall when the dirt cup is coupled to the housing; and means for swirling the air flow about an interior of the dirt cup in a helical manner between the inlet port and the filter. The swirling means includes an elbow flow deflector 20 associated attached to the rear end of the inlet port of the dirt cup. With respect to claim 40, Ito et al. '17621 is considered to disclose (see figure 2) the flow deflector

Art Unit: 1744

having an outlet and wherein the outlet faces a side of the dirt cup, and rearwardly toward the housing since, as can be seen in figure 1, The deflector is angled downward and slightly to the rear towards the filter. Ito et al. is also considered to disclose the dirt cup has a substantially smooth interior surface and the flow deflector alters the course of the air flow exiting the inlet port directing the dirt and debris laden air flow in the dirt cup through the container and thereafter in a direction about the filter before the dirt and debris laden air flow enters the housing so that the filter is not directly in-line with air exiting the inlet port. Ito et al. does not disclose the vacuum cleaner is driven by battery power. However, hand-held vacuum cleaners are notoriously known for being powered by either a wall outlet and electrical cord or battery powered. The patent to Bass et al. discloses a hand-held vacuum cleaner that can be powered by either a wall outlet and electrical cord, or a battery (see column 4, lines 20-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the vacuum cleaner of Ito et al. with a battery to power the vacuum cleaner in view of the teaching of Bass et al.

- 6. Claims 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent to Ito et al. (2002-17621), as modified by Bass et al., as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of patent to Ito et al. (2002-136456).
- 7. Japanese patent to Ito et al. '17621, as modified by Bass et al., does not disclose the elbow includes an attachment portion and wherein one of the attachment portion and the inlet port is received into the other one of the attachment portion and the inlet port. The Japanese patent to Ito et al. '136456 discloses a very similar device that also includes (see figures 2-4) an attachment portion and wherein one of the attachment portion and the inlet port is received into

the other one of the attachment portion and the inlet port. Also, Ito et al. '136456 disclose the attachment portion and the inlet port are frictionally engaged to one another and the elbow is removably coupled to the inlet port. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an inlet portion and an elbow that has an frictionally engaged attachment portion to Ito et al. '17621, as modified by Bass et al., in view of the teaching of Ito et al. '136456 in order to disassemble the vacuum cleaner device for cleaning the elements.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Thomas et al., Touya et al., Genge et al. and Swartz all disclose vacuum cleaners that state that any of them can use either battery power or have a cord connected to a wall outlet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,506

Art Unit: 1744

44

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrence R. Till Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 6

trt